

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO_	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,711	(04/27/2000	Benjamin Frydman	376462000400	4281
25226	7590	07/24/2003		•	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				EXAMINER	
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			DAVIS, B		RIAN J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1621	
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/560,711	FRYDMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Brian J. Davis	1621				
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp riderice address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO peniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>1ay 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
•	•	nnlication					
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 16-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 16</u> is/are allowed.	THOM SOMBIGGIANOTI.					
· <u> </u>							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/560,711

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Clarification

Updated status of claims (after withdraw of the preliminary amendment (Paper No. 13) and the entry of the amendment (Paper No. 14) filed in response to the first Office Action (Paper No. 12)): Claims 1-6 and 16 (Group I), Claims 17-23 and 32 (Group II) and Claims 24-31 (Group III) are pending. Groups II and III had been previously withdrawn from consideration

102 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-16, in so far as they read on the single additional species defined in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12), under 35 USC 102(b) is withdrawn. The reference was factually misinterpreted. The examiner regrets the error. Additionally, applicant's amendment cancels claims 7-15.

Allowable Subject Matter

The elected species and its obvious variant remain free of the prior art. In view of the withdraw of the outstanding 102 rejection, the search was therefore expanded as called for under current Office Markush practice. This resulted in all remaining species being searched. The pending compound claims 1-6 and 16 are allowable. That being the case, the claims of Group II (Claims 17-23) will be rejoined and are allowable over

Application/Control Number: 09/560,711

Art Unit: 1621

the art. The claims of Group III will not be rejoined as they are unrelated to the allowable polyamine compounds of claims 1-6 and 16.

Claims 17-23 and 32 would be allowable once the following 112 rejections have been overcome:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear exactly how an "indication" is treated i.e. does the term "indication" refer to a specific underlying disease, the treatment of a symptom, or has some other applicant-defined meaning. Additionally, The term 'effective amount' (therapeutic amount) is indefinite where the claim fails to state the function which is to be rendered effective. *In re Frederic's*, 102 USPQ 35 (CCPA 1954). Finally, it is unclear if the "polyamine analog of claim 1" is in fact the set of compounds of claim 1, or some other derivatives or analogs thereof. The examiner respectfully suggests deleting the word "analog."

Claims 19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As above, the exact meaning of the term "indication" is unclear.

Application/Control Number: 09/560,711

Art Unit: 1621

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As above, the exact meaning of the term "indication" is unclear. Additionally, it is unclear exactly what "problems [emphasis added] associated with tissue grafts and organ transplants" applicant wishes to refer.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear if the "polyamine analog of claim 1" is in fact the set of compounds of claim 1, or some other derivatives or analogs thereof. The examiner respectfully suggests deleting the word "analog."

The remaining dependent, claims 18, 20 and 23, are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

Conclusion

In view of the extensive changes made to the claims during the course of prosecution, the examiner respectfully requests that a clean copy of all pending claims be included in applicant's next communication.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 3,008,993 is cited to show related compounds.

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 703-305-7129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1123.

Brian J. Davis July 18, 2003